

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. 4:21-mj-71792 MAG
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Traci Austin	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Defendant(s).)
Frial Act from 12/1/2021 to 2/24/2022	c and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exp	x, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact sect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ned by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	d deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, the diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	d unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the corparagraph and — based on the particular the time limits for a preliminary heat extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt our sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first es' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for r an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the . R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Down
DATED:12/1/2021	
	Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: /s/ Leeds Disston	/s/ Abraham Fine
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney